

## Seminar Assessment Report

**Title:** Microeconomics 3

**Professor(s):** Andrea Mattozzi

**Teaching Assistant(s):** Giovanni Andreottola

**Year:** 2013-14    **Semester:** 2    **Department:** ECO

**Participants:** 23    **Forms returned:** 19    **Return:** 82.61%

	Answers	%
--	---------	---

Q1. In overall terms I am satisfied with the professor?

5 - Very much	14	73.68%
4 - Considerably	3	15.79%
3 - Average	2	10.53%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

Q2. The professor was well organised and well prepared?

5 - Very much	13	68.42%
4 - Considerably	5	26.32%
3 - Average	1	5.26%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

Q3. The professor was clear in his/her presentations and explanations?

5 - Very much	10	52.63%
4 - Considerably	7	36.84%
3 - Average	2	10.53%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

**Title:** Microeconomics 3

**By:** Andrea Mattozzi

---

Q4. The professor teaches with interest and enthusiasm?

---

5 - Very much	16	84.21%
4 - Considerably	3	15.79%
3 - Average	0	0.00%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q5. Where appropriate, the professor encourages class participation?

---

5 - Very much	9	47.37%
4 - Considerably	5	26.32%
3 - Average	4	21.05%
2 - Not Very much	1	5.26%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q6. The professor was available and approachable outside seminar hours?

---

5 - Very much	11	57.89%
4 - Considerably	0	0.00%
3 - Average	2	10.53%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	6	31.58%

---

Q7. The professor developed the overall themes of the course/seminar in a coherent manner?

---

5 - Very much	10	52.63%
4 - Considerably	9	47.37%
3 - Average	0	0.00%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q8. Recommended lectures, articles and books have been useful and sufficient?

---

5 - Very much	11	61.11%
4 - Considerably	6	33.33%
3 - Average	1	5.26%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

---

Q9. After taking this course/seminar my interest in the given subject has increased?

---

5 - Very much	6	31.58%
4 - Considerably	8	42.11%
3 - Average	2	10.53%
2 - Not Very much	2	10.53%
1 - Not at all	1	5.26%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q10. Indicate how often you attended the course/seminar

---

5 = (9 to 10 sessions)	17	89.47%
4 = (7 to 8 sessions)	2	10.53%
3 = (5 to 6 sessions)	0	0.00%
2 = (3 to 4 sessions)	0	0.00%
1 = (less than 3 sessions)	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q11. What was the main reason you chose the course/seminar?

---

5 - Personal Interest	0	0.00%
4 - Thesis Related	0	0.00%
3 - Supervisor's Suggestion	0	0.00%
2 - Compulsory	19	100.00%
1 - Other	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q12. What are the course/seminar requirements?

---

5 - Oral Presentation	0	0.00%
4 - Written exam	19	100.00%
3 - Essay	0	0.00%
2 - Written comments on seminar reading or other writing duties	0	0.00%
1 - Participation in discussion or no specific requirements	0	0.00%
N/A - Fulfilled Requirements in Other Seminars	0	0.00%

---

---

Q13. Was the course mandatory for you and did you take the course for credits?

---

5 - Mandatory course for departmental teaching credits	18	94.74%
4 - Mandatory course no credits	1	5.26%
3 - Optional / elective course taken for departmental credits	0	0.00%
2 - Optional / elective course taken fully without credits	0	0.00%
1 - Auditing for several sessions only	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q14. To what extent does this course/seminar overlap "in terms of content" with others?

---

5 - Very much	0	0.00%
4 - Considerably	1	5.26%
3 - Average	3	15.79%
2 - Not Very much	5	26.32%
1 - Not at all	8	42.11%
NA / No Answer	2	10.53%

---

Which course(s)/seminar(s) overlap with others?

---

Q15. To what extent did the central themes of this course/seminar match your expectations?

---

5 - Very much	7	36.84%
4 - Considerably	7	36.84%
3 - Average	3	15.79%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	2	10.53%

---

---

Q16. Practical classes have been very useful for the learning and understanding of the subject?

---

5 - Very much	4	21.05%
4 - Considerably	9	47.37%
3 - Average	4	21.05%
2 - Not Very much	2	10.53%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q17. If this course was co-taught, Do you agree that co-teaching improved the course?

---

5 - Very much	0	0.00%
4 - Considerably	0	0.00%
3 - Average	0	0.00%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	4	100.00%

---

Q18. Please provide your open comments and feedback in relation to individual professor co-teaching the course.

24809530 Matozzi: Very well prepared and clear in its explanations.

24809573 Andy the best professor I had in Micro. He teaches extremely well, is very well organised and prepared, answers to questions, is enthusiastic and makes difficult material sound very easy... with graphs, proofs, examples... Awesome!

---

Q19. Do you think the teaching assistant (Doctoral Researcher or Post-Doctoral Fellow) was well organised and prepared?

---

5 - Very much	9	47.37%
4 - Considerably	6	31.58%
3 - Average	3	15.79%
2 - Not Very much	1	5.26%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q20. Do you think the teaching assistant (Doctoral Researcher or Post-Doctoral Fellow) was available and approachable outside seminar hours?

---

5 - Very much	14	77.78%
4 - Considerably	2	11.11%
3 - Average	1	5.26%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	1	5.26%

---

Q21. In your opinion, what topics were omitted that should have been included?

24809538 I would have been interested in seeing some dynamic theory as well.

---

Q22. What topics should have been reduced/omitted?

---

Q23. What topics covered in the course/seminar did you find particularly valuable?

24809522 Mechanism design

24809650 Information problems in general!

---

Q24. How could the teaching format and learning results for this course be improved?

24809489 The only problem I had with this class is that Giovanni may be good at understanding the material, but is far from being a good teacher. he should try to make sure students understand the material more than satisfying his own curiosity.

24809530 Problem sets are often much more difficult and far away from what taught in class.

24809538 sometimes the questions from the problem set could have been formulated a bit more precise in my opinion.

24809546 The teaching format was so close to what we find in the books proposed that the notes added of the lectures is low in the end.

24809596 I think the TA person should provide not only actuation and intuitive way of solving exercises, but also provide a sort of cook-book to solve a more general clon of exercises. Thus is necessary of you haven't covered the ... in your courses.

24809650 Letting the problem sets count for 10% of the final grade.

---

Q25. Do you have any further comments about the course/seminar?

24809522 I would have liked to have been given more structure on how to solve the exercises, sometimes the approach on how to solve them has more out of common sense then out of techniques seen in the lecture.

24809530 Very interesting and stimulating.

24809546 The slides and the presentations during the lectures are on incentive and stands from the books instead of following the lecturer during the bloc.

---

24809573 The TA is also great. stays longer to answer for questions; gives useful intuitions in class. A great ...!

24809650 Probably best combination of professor and teaching assistant so far!

## Seminar Assessment Report

Title: Microeconomics 3

Professor(s): Andrea Mattozzi

Teaching Assistant(s): Giovanni Andreottola

<b>Year:</b>	2014-15	<b>Semester:</b>	2	<b>Department:</b>	ECO
<b>Participants:</b>	23	<b>Forms returned:</b>	18	<b>Return:</b>	78.26%

	Answers	%
Q1. In overall terms I am satisfied with the professor?		
5 - Very much	7	38.89%
4 - Considerably	11	61.11%
3 - Average	0	0.00%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

Q2. The professor was well organised and well prepared?		
5 - Very much	7	41.18%
4 - Considerably	9	52.94%
3 - Average	1	5.88%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

Q3. The professor was clear in his/her presentations and explanations?		
5 - Very much	3	16.67%
4 - Considerably	12	66.67%
3 - Average	2	11.11%
2 - Not Very much	1	5.56%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%



---

Q4. The professor teaches with interest and enthusiasm?

---

5 - Very much	13	72.22%
4 - Considerably	4	22.22%
3 - Average	1	5.56%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q5. Where appropriate, the professor encourages class participation?

---

5 - Very much	7	38.89%
4 - Considerably	7	38.89%
3 - Average	4	22.22%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q6. The professor was available and approachable outside seminar hours?

---

5 - Very much	6	35.29%
4 - Considerably	6	35.29%
3 - Average	0	0.00%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	5	29.41%

---

Q7. The professor developed the overall themes of the course/seminar in a coherent manner?

---

5 - Very much	8	44.44%
4 - Considerably	6	33.33%
3 - Average	3	16.67%
2 - Not Very much	1	5.56%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q8. Recommended lectures, articles and books have been useful and sufficient?

---

5 - Very much	10	55.56%
4 - Considerably	7	38.89%
3 - Average	0	0.00%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	1	5.56%

---

---

Q9. After taking this course/seminar my interest in the given subject has increased?

---

5 - Very much	5	27.78%
4 - Considerably	4	22.22%
3 - Average	8	44.44%
2 - Not Very much	1	5.56%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q10. Indicate how often you attended the course/seminar

---

5 = (9 to 10 sessions)	15	83.33%
4 = (7 to 8 sessions)	3	16.67%
3 = (5 to 6 sessions)	0	0.00%
2 = (3 to 4 sessions)	0	0.00%
1 = (less than 3 sessions)	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q11. What was the main reason you chose the course/seminar?

---

5 - Personal Interest	0	0.00%
4 - Thesis Related	0	0.00%
3 - Supervisor's Suggestion	0	0.00%
2 - Compulsory	18	100.00%
1 - Other	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q11. For question 11, if answer is other please give a reason

---

---

Q12. What are the course/seminar requirements?

---

5 - Oral Presentation	0	0.00%
4 - Written exam	17	94.44%
3 - Essay	1	5.56%
2 - Written comments on seminar reading or other writing	0	0.00%
1 - Participation in discussion or no specific requirements	0	0.00%
N/A - Fulfilled Requirements in Other Seminars	0	0.00%

---

Q13. Was the course mandatory for you and did you take the course for credits?

---

5 - Mandatory course for departmental teaching credits	16	88.89%
4 - Mandatory course no credits	1	5.56%
3 - Optional / elective course taken for departmental credits	0	0.00%
2 - Optional / elective course taken fully without credits	1	5.56%
1 - Auditing for several sessions only	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q14. To what extent does this course/seminar overlap "in terms of content" with others?

---

5 - Very much	0	0.00%
4 - Considerably	2	11.11%
3 - Average	4	22.22%
2 - Not Very much	7	38.89%
1 - Not at all	5	27.78%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Which course(s)/seminar(s) overlap with others?

---

Q15. To what extent did the central themes of this course/seminar match your expectations?

---

5 - Very much	3	16.67%
4 - Considerably	8	44.44%
3 - Average	6	33.33%
2 - Not Very much	1	5.56%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q16. Practical classes have been very useful for the learning and understanding of the subject?

---

5 - Very much	2	11.76%
4 - Considerably	11	64.71%
3 - Average	2	11.76%
2 - Not Very much	1	5.88%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	1	5.88%

---

Q17. If this course was co-taught, Do you agree that co-teaching improved the course?

---

5 - Very much	0	0.00%
4 - Considerably	1	9.09%
3 - Average	0	0.00%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	10	90.91%

---

Q18. Please provide your open comments and feedback in relation to individual professor co-teaching the course.

25539083	Prof. Mattozzi was always answering our questions, this was really great as we didn't feel discouraged. Sometimes his explanations were confusing but at the end of the day all became clear.
----------	---

---

Q19. Do you think the teaching assistant (Doctoral Researcher or Post-Doctoral Fellow) was well organised and prepared?

---

5 - Very much	3	18.75%
4 - Considerably	11	68.75%
3 - Average	1	6.25%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	1	6.25%

---

Q20. Do you think the teaching assistant (Doctoral Researcher or Post-Doctoral Fellow) was available and approachable outside seminar hours?

---

5 - Very much	9	52.94%
4 - Considerably	6	35.29%
3 - Average	0	0.00%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	2	11.76%

---

Q21. In your opinion, what topics were omitted that should have been included?

---

Q22. What topics should have been reduced/omitted?

---

Q23. What topics covered in the course/seminar did you find particularly valuable?

---

Q24. How could the teaching format and learning results for this course be improved?

25539069 It should be better to have the problem sets graded as the fact that PSs are considered only after exam is not a strong enough incentive - especially given the time constraint.

25539106 I would have preferred it if we had solved more problems / done more derivations on the board during the lecture, this would have improved my understanding considerably.

---

Q25. Do you have any further comments about the course/seminar?

25539069 Please, number the slides!

25539261 It is very good that exact references are given ahead of every chapter.

---



## Seminar Assessment Report

Title: **Microeconomics 3**

Professor(s): **Andrea Mattozzi**

Teaching Assistant(s): **Giovanni Andreottola**

**Year:** 2015-16 **Semester:** 2 **Department:** ECO

**Participants:** 18 **Forms returned:** 12 **Return:** 66.67%

	Answers	%
--	---------	---

Q1. In overall terms I am satisfied with the professor?

5 - Very much	8	66.67%
4 - Considerably	4	33.33%
3 - Average	0	0.00%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

Q2. The professor was well organised and well prepared?

5 - Very much	8	66.67%
4 - Considerably	3	25.00%
3 - Average	1	8.33%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

Q3. The professor was clear in his/her presentations and explanations?

5 - Very much	6	50.00%
4 - Considerably	4	33.33%
3 - Average	2	16.67%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q4. The professor teaches with interest and enthusiasm?

---

5 - Very much	12	100.00%
4 - Considerably	0	0.00%
3 - Average	0	0.00%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q5. Where appropriate, the professor encourages class participation?

---

5 - Very much	6	50.00%
4 - Considerably	5	41.67%
3 - Average	1	8.33%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q6. The professor was available and approachable outside seminar hours?

---

5 - Very much	3	25.00%
4 - Considerably	1	8.33%
3 - Average	1	8.33%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	7	58.33%

---

Q7. The professor developed the overall themes of the course/seminar in a coherent manner?

---

5 - Very much	6	54.55%
4 - Considerably	5	45.45%
3 - Average	0	0.00%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q8. Recommended lectures, articles and books have been useful and sufficient?

---

5 - Very much	6	50.00%
4 - Considerably	5	41.67%
3 - Average	1	8.33%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%

---



1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q9. After taking this course/seminar my interest in the given subject has increased?

5 - Very much	4	33.33%
4 - Considerably	5	41.67%
3 - Average	2	16.67%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	1	8.33%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q10. Indicate how often you attended the course/seminar

5 = (9 to 10 sessions)	8	66.67%
4 = (7 to 8 sessions)	3	25.00%
3 = (5 to 6 sessions)	0	0.00%
2 = (3 to 4 sessions)	1	8.33%
1 = (less than 3 sessions)	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q11. What was the main reason you chose the course/seminar?

5 - Personal Interest	1	8.33%
4 - Thesis Related	0	0.00%
3 - Supervisor's Suggestion	0	0.00%
2 - Compulsory	11	91.67%
1 - Other	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q11. For question 11, if answer is other please give a reason

---



---

Q12. What are the course/seminar requirements?

5 - Oral Presentation	0	0.00%
4 - Written exam	12	100.00%
3 - Essay	0	0.00%
2 - Written comments on seminar reading or other writing	0	0.00%
1 - Participation in discussion or no specific requirements	0	0.00%
N/A - Fulfilled Requirements in Other Seminars	0	0.00%

---

Q13. Was the course mandatory for you and did you take the course for credits?

---

5 - Mandatory course for departmental teaching credits	8	66.67%
4 - Mandatory course no credits	4	33.33%
3 - Optional / elective course taken for departmental credits	0	0.00%
2 - Optional / elective course taken fully without credits	0	0.00%
1 - Auditing for several sessions only	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q14. To what extent does this course/seminar overlap "in terms of content" with others?

---

5 - Very much	0	0.00%
4 - Considerably	1	8.33%
3 - Average	4	33.33%
2 - Not Very much	4	33.33%
1 - Not at all	3	25.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Which course(s)/seminar(s) overlap with others?

R\_1kReQqoY5XiE

78V                      Micro 1

R\_3fjyF7AKe2FBsz

o                      Maths, Micro I, Micro II

---

Q15. To what extent did the central themes of this course/seminar match your expectations?

---

5 - Very much	3	25.00%
4 - Considerably	6	50.00%
3 - Average	3	25.00%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	0	0.00%

---

Q16. Practical classes have been very useful for the learning and understanding of the subject?

---

5 - Very much	0	0.00%
4 - Considerably	4	40.00%
3 - Average	2	20.00%

---

2 - Not Very much	1	10.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	3	30.00%

---

Q17. If this course was co-taught, Do you agree that co-teaching improved the course?

---

5 - Very much	0	0.00%
4 - Considerably	0	0.00%
3 - Average	0	0.00%
2 - Not Very much	1	16.67%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	5	83.33%

---

Q18. Please provide your open comments and feedback in relation to individual professor co-teaching the course.

---



---

Q19. Do you think the teaching assistant (Doctoral Researcher or Post-Doctoral Fellow) was well organised and prepared?

---

5 - Very much	3	25.00%
4 - Considerably	3	25.00%
3 - Average	3	25.00%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	3	25.00%

---

Q20. Do you think the teaching assistant (Doctoral Researcher or Post-Doctoral Fellow) was available and approachable outside seminar hours?

---

5 - Very much	8	66.67%
4 - Considerably	2	16.67%
3 - Average	0	0.00%
2 - Not Very much	0	0.00%
1 - Not at all	0	0.00%
NA / No Answer	2	16.67%

---

Q21. In your opinion, what topics were omitted that should have been included?

---

R\_3fjyF7AKe2FBsz More focus on the mechanism design part at the end would have been nice (e.g. seeing some dynamic or robust mechanism design).

---

Q22. What topics should have been reduced/omitted?

---

R\_1kReQqoY5XiE The initial models are very specific and lack generality, they could just be glossed  
78V over before moving on to more general topics.

---

Q23. What topics covered in the course/seminar did you find particularly valuable?

---

R\_3fjyF7AKe2FBsz Signalling, screening and moral hazard models.

o

R\_3gSHUm25fOfT The last half of the course WAS GREAT  
d1s

---

Q24. How could the teaching format and learning results for this course be improved?

---

R\_1kReQqoY5XiE Maybe use more recent mechanism design book like Tilman Borgers' new book and  
78V leave Salamié?

R\_3fjyF7AKe2FBsz Less material

o

---

Q25. Do you have any further comments about the course/seminar?

---

R\_3gSHUm25fOfT Great course!  
d1s

R\_1kReQqoY5XiE The model themselves are manageable to understand but the number of them  
78V having been covered is just too much in such a short period.

Try to make the lectures a little bit clearer into topic related to AS, MH.

R\_3fjyF7AKe2FBsz I didn't really participate in the TA classes - find this a shame - butt the other courses  
o just fill so much more of once time.

R\_1f3yF7AFaf3FG Best course taken so far. He explains how things should be explained but it's not a  
gha very easy subject.

